

CULTIVATING LIFELONG LEARNING IN AI ENGINEERING: AN EXPERIENTIAL APPROACH THROUGH THE 'ARTICLE REVIEW' MODULE

Salma Aidli, Khalil Sridi, Mourad Zerai

ESPRIT School of Engineering, Tunisia

ABSTRACT

This paper details the 'Article Review' module, crafted for graduating AI engineering students to immerse themselves in and critically evaluate contemporary AI research literature. This module integrates several key CDIO standards, specifically focusing on integrated curriculum design (Standard 3), introduction to cutting-edge engineering challenges (Standard 2), and the promotion of active and self-directed learning (Standards 8 and 11), thereby developing a foundation for lifelong learning. The module employs diverse pedagogical approaches, incorporating rigorous critical reading, analytical writing, and persuasive oral presentation components. This trifold methodology ensures a comprehensive immersion into AI engineering research. Initial quantitative and qualitative evaluations from students indicate a marked improvement in their ability to critically analyze research papers, with a significant portion reporting enhanced interest in AI research. This communication aims to dissect the module's design intricacies, its operational dynamics in an academic setting, and its palpable impact on student learning outcomes. Furthermore, preliminary success indicators suggest that this module's framework could serve as a prototype for adaptation and implementation across various engineering disciplines, offering a viable model for how engineering education can integrate lifelong learning competencies.

KEYWORDS

AI engineering education, Lifelong learning, Research paper review, Critical assessment, CDIO standards 2, 3, 4, 5, 7,8,11

INTRODUCTION

The field of Artificial Intelligence (AI) is characterized by rapid and continuous evolution Raj (2023). This dynamic nature of AI poses a unique challenge to engineering education, particularly in preparing students for a landscape that demands current knowledge and the ability to engage in ongoing skill development. Addressing this challenge, this paper introduces the 'Article Review' module, a recent addition to the curriculum for graduating AI engineering students. The module is a response to the growing need for lifelong learning competencies in engineering education Broeck & al. (2022) and aligns with several key CDIO standards. The

Proceedings of the 20th International CDIO Conference, hosted by Ecole Supérieure Privée d'Ingénierie et de Technologies (ESPRIT) Tunis, Tunisia, June 10 – June 13, 2024

'Article Review' module draws on this educational trend, aiming to equip students with the ability to immerse themselves in and critically evaluate contemporary AI research literature. The module aligns with integrated curriculum design (CDIO Standard 2), introduces students to current engineering challenges (CDIO Standard 3), and promotes active and self-directed learning (CDIO Standards 8 and 11).

The approach adopted in this module is influenced by practices observed in various leading engineering schools globally. These schools have implemented diverse methods to promote lifelong learning skills, ranging from online and interdisciplinary programs to project-based and self-directed learning initiatives. The 'Article Review' module contributes to this spectrum of educational strategies by focusing on critical reading, analytical writing, and oral presentation skills in the context of AI engineering research.

This paper presents the design of the 'Article Review' module, exploring its implementation in an academic setting and its impact on student learning. The module immerses students in practical research and critical analysis of AI engineering research. Through a curated selection, students engage in deep reading, comprehension, and synthesis of complex technical content. Writing reviews and giving oral presentations improve their understanding of AI engineering principles and enhance essential analytical and communication skills, serving as valuable assets in both academic and professional pursuits. Initial student feedback indicates improvement in critical analysis abilities and a heightened interest in AI research. These findings suggest the module's potential as a practical tool for developing lifelong learning in engineering education, with its framework adaptable for implementation across various disciplines. This adaptability demonstrates the module's contribution to the broader goal of integrating lifelong learning competencies within engineering curricula.

LITERATURE REVIEW AND RELATED WORKS

The integration of Lifelong Learning (LLL) within engineering education is crucial for educating engineers capable of adapting and innovating in a rapidly changing technological environment. This literature review examines the implementation of LLL, particularly through the Conceive-Design-Implement-Operate (CDIO) Syllabus and Standards. Dujardin et al. (2023) highlight a gap in engineering curricula regarding LLL competencies, such as developing learning plans and motivating continuous education. Their methodology suggests ways to embed these competencies into curricula. Van den Broeck et al. (2022) emphasize the necessity of LLL within discipline-specific contexts and support integrated curriculum design, aligning with CDIO Standard 2. Cicek et al. (2016) and Uziak et al. (2015) underline the significance of self-assessment and the educators' role in promoting LLL skills, in line with CDIO Standard 8. Further research by Puglisi and Domènech-Gil (2023), Nikolaenko et al. (2022), Marcynuk et al. (2020), and Ndubuisi et al. (2020) points to the effectiveness of LLL strategies and the deficiencies in preparing engineering students for lifelong learning, reflecting current educational demands.

Furthermore, engineering schools globally adopt various strategies to inculcate lifelong learning skills among students. Studies like that of Dawe et al. (2021) explore the integration of lifelong learning in Canadian engineering programs, revealing a preference for skills and knowledge over a deep commitment to lifelong learning. O'Neill et al. (2015) and Aleong and Strong (2015) discuss the importance of attributes such as continuous learning interest and self-regulation. Meanwhile, practical approaches like those outlined by Oviedo-Trespalacios et al. (2015) and the application of the Kern Entrepreneurship Education Network (KEEN) model,

as detailed by Santiago and Guo (2018), emphasize hands-on experiences and entrepreneurial mindset development. Additionally, initiatives like the Life Skills Course, John (2022) and the use of Bloom's Taxonomy and High Impact Practices (HIPs) Nizami et al. (2015) bridge academic learning with workplace demands through enhanced communication, teamwork, and independent learning capabilities.

“ARTICLE REVIEW” MODULE PRESENTATION

In the context of adapting engineering education to the demands of a continuously evolving technological landscape, particularly within the field of Artificial Intelligence (AI), ESPRIT School of Engineering has developed and implemented a novel module titled "Article Review." This initiative, part of the AI Engineering specialization, aims to bridge the gap between academic learning and the practical challenges encountered by engineers in the AI sector.

Module Overview

The "Article Review" module is specifically designed to introduce graduating engineering students to advanced research in the field of AI. Through a structured framework, students are required to select, analyze, and present findings from selected leading research papers in AI engineering. The module spans three weeks, under the guidance of an Instructor, targeting students in the fifth and terminal year AI class.

Objectives

The primary objectives of the module are fourfold:

1. Introduce students to contemporary research and developments in AI engineering.
2. Enhance students' abilities to critically read and understand scientific literature.
3. Cultivate skills necessary for the synthesis and communication of complex technical data.
4. Embed a culture of lifelong learning, highlighting the importance of continual knowledge acquisition beyond formal education.

Intended Learning Outcomes of the module

Upon completion, students are expected to demonstrate a comprehensive ability to:

1. Analyze and interpret methodologies, results, and implications from selected AI research, understanding their relevance to both academic and practical applications.
2. Construct detailed reviews that encapsulate the core aspects of the research, including its significance, methodologies, findings, and broader implications for the field of AI.
3. Effectively communicate research insights to a broad audience, articulating the relevance and applications of the findings in AI engineering.
4. Critique and evaluate research works, assessing their contribution to the field.
5. Adopt a lifelong learning approach, actively seeking out and integrating new knowledge in their professional practices.

Evaluation

Student performance in the module is assessed through a combination of written reviews (60%) and oral presentations (40%). The evaluation criteria focus on the students'

comprehension, analytical skills, and the ability to engage with and convey complex ideas effectively.

Pedagogical Approach

The module employs a comprehensive template and structure for both the written review and oral presentation, guiding students through the critical analysis of research papers. This approach facilitates the deep understanding of AI technologies and methodologies and encourages students to reflect on the significance and future prospects of the research.

IMPLEMENTATION OF THE 'ARTICLE REVIEW' MODULE WITH RELEVANT CDIO STANDARDS V3.0

Overview

The 'Article Review' module in AI engineering education aligns with key CDIO Standards 3.0, focusing on those most relevant to its goals and structure. This analysis outlines how the module implements these specific standards, demonstrating its integration into the CDIO framework.

Alignment With Selected CDIO Standards

Standard 2 - Learning Outcomes: The 'Article Review' module directly targets learning outcomes that include critical analysis, understanding of AI technologies, and ethical considerations. These outcomes align with the CDIO's emphasis on personal, professional, and product-process system building skills.

Standard 3 - Integrated Curriculum: The module integrates technical knowledge of AI with critical thinking and research analysis skills. This curriculum design aligns with the CDIO's principle of intertwining disciplinary knowledge with personal and interpersonal skills.

Standard 7 - Integrated Learning Experiences: The module provides an integrated learning experience by combining the study of AI research with the development of critical and analytical skills. This approach reflects the CDIO's emphasis on incorporating professional engineering issues into learning experiences.

Standard 8 - Active Learning: Active learning is a core component of the module, as students are engaged in critical thinking and problem-solving activities related to AI research literature. This methodology aligns with the CDIO's focus on active and experiential learning methods.

Standard 11 - Learning Assessment: The module's assessment methods are diverse, including analysis, presentations, and discussions. These methods are in line with the CDIO's approach to evaluating a broad range of learning outcomes, from technical knowledge to personal and interpersonal skills.

ALIGNMENT WITH THE CDIO SYLLABUS THROUGH THE 'ARTICLE REVIEW' MODULE

Overview

The 'Article Review' module in AI engineering education is designed to target specific competencies outlined in the CDIO Syllabus. This section examines how the module addresses these competencies, reinforcing its role in developing a comprehensive skill set in students.

Aligning with Key Competencies in the CDIO Syllabus

Technical Knowledge and Reasoning (Section 1):

Knowledge of Underlying Sciences (1.1): Through the analysis and evaluation of AI research literature, students indirectly engage with the underlying scientific principles that form the basis of AI technologies. This includes areas like mathematics, statistics, and computer science, which are fundamental to understanding and evaluating AI algorithms and systems.

Core Engineering Fundamental Knowledge (1.2): The module requires students to have a foundational understanding of core engineering concepts relevant to AI. This includes basic knowledge of computing systems, software engineering, and algorithmic principles, which are necessary for comprehending and critiquing the AI research articles.

Advanced Engineering Fundamental Knowledge (1.3): AI engineering is a rapidly advancing field, and the 'Article Review' module exposes students to advanced topics through contemporary research papers. This includes emerging AI technologies, machine learning algorithms, data analytics, and their applications. Engaging with these advanced topics enhances the students' understanding of the cutting-edge developments in AI engineering.

Personal and Professional Skills and Attitudes (Section 2):

Systems Thinking (2.3): The module encourages students to approach AI technologies from a systems perspective, considering the interplay between technical and human elements. This aligns with the expanded view of systems thinking in the CDIO Syllabus.

Adaptability and Flexibility (2.4.3):

Students engage with rapidly evolving AI technologies, supporting adaptability and resourcefulness, crucial in the dynamic field of AI.

Lifelong Learning (2.4.7):

The module's focus on continuous engagement with current research in AI encourages the development of learning agility, aligning with the Syllabus's emphasis on lifelong learning.

Interpersonal Skills (Section 3):

Collaboration (3.1.2) and Stakeholder Engagement (3.1.3): Analyzing AI research within the module often requires understanding diverse perspectives, which promotes skills in multi-perspective collaboration and stakeholder engagement.

Conceiving, Designing, Implementing, and Operating Systems in a Societal Context (Section 4):

Societal and Environmental Context (4.1): Students are exposed to the societal and environmental implications of AI technologies, developing an understanding of the broader impact of engineering work.

Systems Engineering and Management (4.3): The module's focus on AI research includes aspects of conceiving and understanding system requirements and goals, which aligns with the Syllabus's focus on systems engineering and management.

Designing for Sustainability (4.4): By engaging with research on sustainable AI solutions, students develop an understanding of sustainable design practices.

Expansion (Section 5):

Research (5.3): The module develops research-related skills by engaging students in the analysis and evaluation of AI research, aligning with the Syllabus's new focus on research competencies.

ASSESSING THE IMPACT OF THE 'ARTICLE REVIEW' MODULE ON LLL SKILLS

Quantitative and Qualitative Analysis of Student Feedback

This section presents a comprehensive analysis of student feedback from the 'Article Review' module, which was designed to enhance lifelong learning skills among AI engineering students. The analysis integrates both quantitative and qualitative data collected from a questionnaire completed by 36 students who participated in the course.

Quantitative Analysis: Insights from Likert Scale Responses

The quantitative component of the feedback involved Likert scale questions, focusing on various aspects of the course. This part of the analysis provides valuable insights into the module's effectiveness, its strengths, and areas for improvement.

Response Rate and General Observations: Out of 36 students, responses were received from 21. The Likert scale, ranging from 1 to 5, was used to gauge students' perceptions, with higher scores indicating more positive feedback.

Table 1: Summary of Quantitative Responses

Question	Mean	Standard Deviation
The course effectively introduced me to cutting-edge research in AI engineering.	4.71	0.46
I feel more aware of current research methodologies in AI after completing this module.	4.33	0.66
The curated list of research papers was diverse and relevant to AI engineering.	4.62	0.5
The course structure facilitated deep comprehension of the selected research papers.	4.19	0.75
I felt encouraged to think critically about the papers reviewed.	4.48	0.51

I felt adequately prepared to synthesize the content of the articles into a coherent review.	3.95	0.74
The guidelines for the oral presentation were clear and helpful.	4.71	0.46
The module enhanced my lifelong learning skills.	4.43	0.68
The evaluation criteria for the written review were clear and fair.	4.67	0.58
The module fostered a culture of lifelong learning in AI.	4.33	0.66
I feel more confident in my ability to critically evaluate research papers.	4.1	0.62
My skills in presenting complex technical information have improved.	4.19	0.81
I believe I have enhanced my ability to understand and analyze complex research studies.	4.19	0.68
The balance between understanding, analyzing, and critiquing the papers was appropriate.	4.14	0.65
The curriculum design facilitated a holistic understanding of the subject matter.	4.1	0.7
The module effectively integrated different skills (e.g., reading, analysis, presentation).	4.67	0.48
The module provided a clear introduction to the field of AI engineering research.	4.43	0.6
The curated list of research papers effectively covered the key areas of AI engineering.	4.48	0.68
The "Article Review" module enhanced my perspective on AI engineering.	4.48	0.51
The module encouraged active engagement with the content.	4.48	0.51
Writing reviews and giving presentations made me more comfortable with public speaking.	4.62	0.59
The active learning components (e.g., discussions, presentations) were valuable.	4.24	0.7
The module has made me more inclined to continue researching in AI engineering.	4.62	0.5
I feel equipped with the skills to continue learning in the field of AI engineering.	4.38	0.67
The emphasis on fostering a culture of lifelong learning in AI was evident throughout the module.	4.29	0.64

The quantitative questionnaire results provide a comprehensive view of the students' feedback on various aspects of the "Article Review" course. The mean scores across all questions range from 3.95 to 4.71, indicating an overall positive reception of the course components and outcomes. The lowest mean score relates to students' readiness to synthesize the content of articles into coherent reviews, suggesting an area for potential improvement. The highest mean scores are attributed to the effectiveness of the course in introducing cutting-edge research in AI engineering, the clarity of the guidelines for oral presentations, and the integration of different skills throughout the module, each with a mean score of 4.71. Standard deviation values range from 0.46 to 0.81, with most questions having a standard deviation below 0.70, suggesting a relatively consistent agreement among participants. The presence of minimum scores at or above the midpoint for all questions highlights a generally positive baseline evaluation. However, the variability indicated by standard deviation values, particularly in questions related to students' skills and preparedness, suggests areas where experiences and perceptions may vary more significantly among participants.

Table 2: Themes from Qualitative Responses

Questions	Key Themes
Which aspects of the module did you find most valuable?	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Technical aspects and practical applications - Diversity and relevance of articles - Continuous engagement and understanding
What improvements would you suggest for future iterations of the "Article Review" module?	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Keep articles list updated - Incorporate more practical validations - Include projects for applying concepts
How would you describe the overall relevance of this module in the context of AI engineering research?	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Enhances familiarity with research methodologies - Improves critical thinking and academic growth - Relevant and timely content

The integrated analysis of both quantitative and qualitative feedback from the 'Article Review' module participants reveals a generally positive reception of the course's effectiveness in developing lifelong learning skills. The quantitative data points to the module's strengths in introducing students to contemporary AI research and its relevance. The qualitative responses, while affirming these strengths, also highlight areas for improvement, particularly in enhancing practical application and providing structured learning support. This feedback is very useful for refining the module in future iterations.

CONCLUSION

The 'Article Review' module at ESPRIT School of Engineering, by engaging AI engineering students with cutting-edge AI research, has effectively contributed to enhancing their analytical, synthesis, and communication skills. This module serves as a link between rapid advancements in AI and innovative educational practices, promoting a mindset of adaptability and continuous learning essential for future engineers. Integrating practical projects, where students implement and test ideas from reviewed articles, could deepen their grasp of the content. To ensure the module remains relevant and effective amid the rapidly evolving AI field, it is crucial to continually evaluate and update its content and approach. Broadening the module's scope to include other engineering disciplines could extend the principles of lifelong learning, in line with CDIO Standard 1 (Context). Conducting longitudinal studies to assess the module's impact on graduates' careers and lifelong learning aligns with CDIO Standard 11 (Learning Assessment), providing insights for its ongoing enhancement. Incorporating practical projects for students to test and apply concepts from AI research articles significantly enriches their learning. This hands-on approach could improve comprehension of the studied materials and prepares students for real-world challenges, embodying the essence of the CDIO approach by integrating conception, design, implementation, and operation into the learning process.

FINANCIAL SUPPORT ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors received no financial support for this work.

REFERENCES

- Aleong, R. J., & Strong, D. S. (2015). Exploring the Self in Engineering Education: The Design of a Self-Reflective Workshop Series to Position Students for Self-Regulation. In *2015 ASEE Annual Conference & Exposition* (pp. 26-742).
- Broeck, L., Craps, S., Beagon, Ú., Naukkarinen, J., & Langie, G. (2022). Lifelong learning as an explicit part of engineering programmes: what can we do as educators?. *Towards a new future in engineering education, new scenarios that european alliances of tech universities open up*.
- Cicek, J. S., Ingram, S., & Friesen, M. R. (2016). On becoming an Engineer: The essential role of lifelong learning competencies. In *2016 ASEE Annual Conference & Exposition*.
- Dawe, N., Romkey, L., Bilton, A., & Khan, R. (2021). A REVIEW OF HOW LIFELONG LEARNING IS PLANNED AND ENACTED IN CANADIAN ENGINEERING PROGRAMS. *Proceedings of the Canadian Engineering Education Association (CEEA)*.
- Dujardin, R., Van den Broeck, L., Craps, S., Beagon, U., De Paor, C., Byrne, A., & Langie, G. (2023). Lifelong Learning as Explicit Part of Engineering Programmes: A Case Study. In *Proceedings of the 19th International CDIO Conference*.
- Greet, L., Craps, S., & Van den Broeck, L. (2022). Students' perceptions of a major engineering curriculum reform. In *Towards a new future in engineering education, new scenarios that european alliances of tech universities open up* (pp. 478-486). Universitat Politècnica de Catalunya.
- John, D. (2022). A Life-skills Course for Engineers to Acquire Communication Skills and Team Skills. In *2022 IEEE International Professional Communication Conference (ProComm)* (pp. 36-41). IEEE.
- Marcynuk, K., Parker, A., & Godavari, N. (2020). Engineering undergraduates and their self-reported confidence and proficiency levels in lifelong learning (A12). *Proceedings of the Canadian Engineering Education Association (CEEA)*.
- Ndubuisi, A., Khan, R., Marzi, E., & Edun, O. (2020). A KCI approach to promote intercultural competencies for international virtual engineering student teams (InVEST). In *2020 IEEE frontiers in education conference (FIE)* (pp. 1-5). IEEE.
- Nikolaenko, S., Ivanyshyn, V., Shynkaruk, V., Bulgakova, O., Zbaravska, L., Vasileva, V., & Dukulis, I. (2022). Integration-lifelong educational space in formation of competent agricultural engineer. *Engineering for Rural Development, Jelgava, 21*, (pp. 638-644).
- Nizami, S., Abdelazez, M., & Chan, A. D. (2015). Engineers as life-long learners: Pedagogical tools for engineering graduate attribute development. *Proceedings of the Canadian Engineering Education Association (CEEA)*.
- O'Neill, G. (2015). Curriculum design in higher education: Theory to practice. *Dublin: UCD Teaching & Learning*.
- Oviedo-Trespalacios, O., Angarita, L. P., Maestre-Meyer, M., & Correa, C. B. (2015). Building the life-long learning competence in undergraduate engineering students with a laboratory practice in learning curve. *Procedia-Social and Behavioral Sciences, 174*, (pp. 2021-2026).
- Puglisi, D., & Domènech-Gil, G. (2023). Enabling Lifelong Learning by Using Multiple Engagement Tools. In *CDIO Conference 2023, Trondheim (Norway), 26-29 June 2023* (pp. 633-643).
- Raj, R., & Kos, A. (2023). Artificial Intelligence: Evolution, Developments, Applications, and Future Scope. *Przegląd Elektrotechniczny, 99(2)*.
- Santiago, J. M., & Guo, J. (2018). Developing an entrepreneurial mindset using the KEEN framework for a digital communication system course. In *2018 ASEE Annual Conference & Exposition*.
- Uziak, J., Walczak, M., Oladiran, T., Gizejowski, M. (2015). *Understanding of Lifelong Learning by Engineering Instructors*. International Journal of Engineering Education. 31. (pp. 1576-1586).

BIOGRAPHICAL INFORMATION

Salma Aidli is a graduating engineering student specializing in artificial intelligence within the field of computer science.

Khalil Sridi is a graduating engineering student specializing in artificial intelligence within the field of computer science.

Mourad Zeraï is an engineer and a PhD in applied mathematics. He is an Associate Professor at ESPRIT since 2008 and a researcher in pedagogical innovation and AI.

Corresponding author

Mourad ZERAI
Esprit School of Engineering
1,2 Rue Ampère, Z.I. Chotrana II
Ariana Tunisia
mourad.zerai@esprit.tn



This work is licensed under a [Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivatives 4.0 International License](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).